# A Dual-feedback Folded-cascode Fully Differential Transimpedance Amplifier in 65-nm CMOS

Yoonji Park and Sung Min Park

Abstract—This paper presents a fully differential transimpedance amplifier (TIA) realized in a standard 65-nm CMOS technology, which exploits a dual-feedback folded-cascode novel input configuration for high transimpedance gain and low input impedance characteristics, and employs active single-to-differential (ASD) circuit particularly for fully differential signaling even from the input stage. Simulated results of the proposed dual-feedback folded-cascode differential (DFD) TIA show 67-dB $\Omega$ transimpedance gain, 330-MHz bandwidth for 1.6-pF photodiode capacitance, -77.2-dB power supply rejection ratio at 100 kHz, -26-dBm sensitivity, and 3.38-mW power consumption from a single 1.2-V supply.

*Index Terms*—Active single-to-differential, CMOS, dual-feedback, folded-cascode, TIA

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, light detection and ranging (LiDAR) sensors have been proliferated by the development of various industrial applications including autonomous vehicles, 5G communications, Internet of things (IoT), robotics, near-field image processing, etc [1]. Especially, LiDAR sensors would be widely exploited even in smart factories and for home monitoring elder-care systems, because they can provide advantages over conventional RF radar sensors such as negligible signal interference,

Dept. of Electronic and Electrical Eng., Ewha Womans University E-mail : smpark@ewha.ac.kr

fast lock-on time, small beam spread, and better ability to track decelerating targets [2].

Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of a typical LiDAR sensor that can achieve high image resolution and depth perception utilizing time-of-flight (ToF) pulses.

However, this ToF-based LiDAR sensor inherently shows a major limitation, i.e., severely deteriorated detection ability by weather conditions, which should therefore be resolved by improving transimpedance gain and noise characteristics of optical receivers [3].

As shown in Fig. 1, the laser source emits short optical pulses while the optical detector receives the reflected signals from targets. Optical detectors (i.e., typically photodiodes) convert the received optical pulses into weak electrical currents and therefore, the following front-end transimpedance amplifier (TIA) mandates high transimpedance gain and low noise characteristics simultaneously.

For this purpose, a single-ended shunt-feedback TIA architecture has been commonly employed [4]. However, it is well known that the single-ended configuration is vulnerable to common-mode noises, such as power supply noise and crosstalk noise generated from silicon substrate. Previously, several differential TIAs were



Fig. 1. Block diagram of a typical LiDAR system.

Manuscript received Mar. 27, 2020; reviewed Apr. 21, 2020; accepted May 11, 2020



Fig. 2. Block diagram of the proposed DFD-TIA.

suggested to alleviate these issues [5-10]. In particular, a fully differential structure is desirable even from the input stage in order to improve the required commonmode rejection ratio, e.g. power supply rejection ratio (PSRR). Hence, we have demonstrated a couple of fully differential TIAs [5, 6]. Yet, they showed poor PSRR performances because of the inevitable asymmetry occurred mainly from the AC-coupling capacitor integrated at the input stage.

Moreover, the cascode configuration needs a large supply voltage to accommodate the stacked transistors. In this paper, we propose a number of novel circuit techniques to alleviate all these design issues. First, a folded-cascode architecture is suggested to lower the supply voltage [11]. Second, a dual-feedback is applied at the input stage to decrease the input impedance further, hence extending the bandwidth to facilitate the narrowpulse recovery. Third, an active single-to-differential (ASD) stage is exploited to maintain the same commonmode voltage at differential output nodes, thus obtaining fully differential signaling even from the input stage.

In short, we present a novel dual-feedback foldedcascode fully differential transimpedance amplifier (DFD-TIA) in this paper. Fig. 2 shows the block diagram of the proposed DFD-TIA, which consists of a dualfeedback folded-cascode input stage, an ASD stage for fully differential signaling, a post amplifier (PA) for gain boosting, and an output buffer (OB) for 50- $\Omega$  impedance matching.

### **II. PROPOSED DFD-TIA**

As aforementioned, shunt-feedback voltage-mode TIAs have been very popular because of their low-noise characteristics. Among those, inverter-based TIAs have become very attractive for long-range LiDAR applications. However, there exists a notorious design tradeoff between gain and bandwidth in the inverter-



Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the DFD-TIA input stage.

based TIAs. We have previously demonstrated a novel voltage-mode CMOS feedforward TIA to alleviate the design tradeoff [12]. Yet, it was a single-ended configuration, thus leading to poor PSRR characteristics. In this work, therefore, we propose a novel dual-feedback folded-cascode input configuration, which improves the PSRR efficiently.

#### 1. Dual-Feedback Folded-cascode Input Stage

Fig. 3 depicts the schematic diagram of the proposed differential dual-feedback folded-cascode input configuration that comprises eight transistors ( $M_1 \sim M_8$ ). Here,  $M_3 \& M_4$  function as cascode transistors to reduce the Miller effect of the common-source transistors ( $M_1 \& M_2$ ). Thereby, the noise performance of this input stage can be improved by increasing the size of  $M_1 \& M_2$  for a similar input capacitance. Besides, the folded-cascode topology facilitates the biasing of transistors and enables the usage of a low supply voltage.

Meanwhile, two negative feedbacks are applied in this configuration. First, a conventional negative feedback is applied from the output node to the input node via  $R_{F2}$ . Second, another negative feedback is applied from the drains of  $M_1 \& M_2$  to the input node via  $R_{F1}$ . This dual-feedback topology leads to a lower input resistance than the case of a conventional shunt-feedback TIA, hence moving the input-node pole to a higher frequency. Then, a rather large photodiode capacitance can be tolerated for a similar bandwidth.

Also, this dual-feedback architecture renders the input current signals  $(i_{pd})$  from the photodiode to reach the output node in two paths [13]. First, the input current  $(i_{pd})$  generates a negative voltage signal  $(v_1)$  through the common-source transistor  $(M_1)$  with  $R_{F1}$ , which is amplified to be FC\_OUT<sub>N</sub> by the cascode stage  $(M_3)$ . Second, the same current is amplified by the core amplifier  $(M_1, M_3, M_5)$  again, so as to generate another FC\_OUT<sub>N</sub> voltage which will be added to the previous FC\_OUT<sub>N</sub>.

According to small signal analysis, the input resistance  $(R_{in})$  and the transimpedance gain  $(Z_T)$  of the dual-feedback folded-cascode input stage are given by,

$$R_{in} = \frac{v_{in}}{i_{pd}} \cong \left(\frac{g_{m3}R_{F1}}{g_{m1} + g_{m3}}\right) \| \left(\frac{R_{F2}}{1 + g_{m1}r_{o5}}\right)$$
$$\cong \left(\frac{R_{F1}}{2}\right) \| \left(\frac{R_{F2}}{g_{m1}r_{o5}}\right)$$
(1)

$$Z_T \cong -\left[\left(\frac{g_{m3}r_{o5}}{2}\right)R_{F1} + R_{F2}\right]$$
(2)

where it is assumed that  $g_{m1} = g_{m3}$  and that  $g_{m1}r_{o5}$  is greater than unity.

It is clearly seen that the proposed dual-feedback folded-cascode input stage can provide much lower input impedance and twice higher transimpedance gain at least, when compared to a conventional inverter TIA. Yet, the feedback resistance ( $R_{F1} \& R_{F2}$ ) should be judiciously selected to optimize the design tradeoff between the transimpedance gain and the bandwidth.

Nonetheless, the differential core amplifier  $(M_2, M_4, M_6)$  still generates a DC output voltage at the drain node of  $M_4$  because no input current is applied to the gate of  $M_2$ . This will deteriorate the PSRR due to the asymmetric signaling. Therefore, active single-to-differential circuit is employed at the output of the dual-feedback folded-cascode input stage to recover a fully differential signaling. It is also noted that the capacitor  $(C_1)$  at the gate of  $M_2$  mimics the photodiode capacitance  $(C_{pd})$  for symmetry further.

#### 2. Active Single-to-differential Stage

Fig. 4 shows the schematic diagram of the proposed ASD stage, where two differential pairs are cross-connected.  $M_9 \& M_{12}$  take the asymmetric differential



Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of the proposed ASD stage.

outputs of the preceded input stage, and the drain node  $(V_{ASD})$  of  $M_{13}$  is connected to the gate of  $M_5$  &  $M_6$  in the input stage. Thereby, the ASD stage can recover a positive output voltage (FC\_OUT<sub>P</sub>) and achieve a fully differential signaling.

The mechanism of this differential swings can be described as below. With no input signals, the same bias current of I/2 flows through  $M_9 \sim M_{12}$ , and the current sources  $M_{13}$  &  $M_{14}$  maintain the bias current of I. Assuming that the gate voltage of  $M_9$  drops by  $\Delta v_g$  with an AC output signal occurred from the preceded input stage, it will increase the drain current ( $i_{D9}$ ) by  $\alpha$ . On the contrary, the gate of  $M_{10}$  is tied up to a constant voltage ( $V_{REF}$ ) and  $M_{13}$  should maintain the bias current. This indicates that the drain current of  $M_{10}$  must be reduced the same amount of  $\alpha$  by pulling down the source voltage of  $M_{10}$ .

By denoting the variations of the gate and the source voltages as  $\Delta v_g$  and  $\Delta v_s$ , respectively, the drain currents of M<sub>9</sub> & M<sub>10</sub> are given by,

$$\frac{I}{2} + \alpha = \frac{1}{2} k_{p} \left( v_{SG} - |v_{tp}| + \Delta v_{g} - \Delta v_{s} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} k_{p} \left( v_{OV} + \Delta v_{g} - \Delta v_{s} \right)^{2} \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{I}{2} - \alpha = \frac{1}{2} k_{p} \left( v_{SG} - |v_{tp}| - \Delta v_{s} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} k_{p} \left( v_{OV} - \Delta v_{s} \right)^{2} \qquad (4)$$

Rearranging (3) & (4) provides two equations below.



**Fig. 5.** Simulated differential output eye-diagrams of the DFD input stage without and with the ASD stage for 20  $\mu$  A<sub>pp</sub> 2<sup>31</sup>-1 PRBS inputs at 500-Mb/s operations.

$$\alpha \cong k_p v_{OV} \left( \Delta v_g - \Delta v_s \right) \tag{5}$$

$$\alpha \cong k_p v_{OV} \Delta v_s \tag{6}$$

By equating these two equations,

$$\Delta v_s \cong \frac{1}{2} \Delta v_g \tag{7}$$

The same procedure is applied to  $M_{11} \& M_{12}$ , in which the drain current of  $M_{11}$  certainly increases the same amount of  $\alpha$  to keep the bias current of  $M_{13}$  constant, whereas the drain current of  $M_{12}$  decreases by  $\alpha$ simultaneously. Thereby, the gate voltage of  $M_{12}$  is forced to pull up to generate the same AC output voltage  $(\Delta v_g)$  at the differential node of the preceded dualfeedback folded-cascode input stage. Fig. 5 compares the post-layout simulated differential output eye-diagrams of the dual-feedback folded-cascode input stage at 500 Mb/s. With the proposed ASD stage applied, it is clearly seen that two identical differential eyes are clearly obtained, confirming the potential to achieve better common-mode rejection ratio.

#### **III. SIMULATION RESULTS**

Design of the proposed DFD-TIA was realized in a standard 65-nm CMOS technology, where the input optical detector, i.e. photodiode, was emulated by its electrical lumped-model with a 25  $\Omega$  series resistor and a 1.6 pF parasitic capacitance. Fig. 6 shows the core layout of the DFD-TIA, where the DFD input with ASD stage occupies the area of 0.007 mm<sup>2</sup>, and the core occupies the area of 0.017 mm<sup>2</sup>.

Fig. 7 depicts the post-layout simulated frequency response of the DFD-TIA, revealing the transimpedance



Fig. 6. Core layout of the DFD-TIA.



Fig. 7. Simulated frequency response of the DFD-TIA.



Fig. 8. Simulated PSRR of DFD-TIA vs. MCD-TIA [5].

gain of 67 dB $\Omega$  and the bandwidth of 330 MHz. It achieves the average noise current spectral density of 10.2 pA/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  that corresponds to the estimated sensitivity of -26 dBm for the BER of 10<sup>-12</sup> with the assumption of 0.6-A/W photodiode responsivity. At the worst cases of corner simulations, the transimpedance gain reduces 0.5 dB and the noise current spectral density worsens 6 % only. Even though the bandwidth shrinks down to 270 MHz, we believe it would barely affect the front-end circuit of a LiDAR system. Fig. 8 compares the power supply rejection ratio (PSRR) of the DFD-TIA

Parameters	[6]	[9]	[10]	[12]	this work
CMOS technology (nm)	130	*350	180	180	65
Input configuration	BFD	RGC	P_INV	VCF	DFD
Topology	Differential	Single-ended	Differential	Single-ended	Differential
Supply voltage (V)	1.2	5.0	3.3	1.8	1.2
Photodiode cap. (pF)	0.5	1.5	1.0	0.5	1.6
TZ gain (dBΩ)	66	58.5	106 (w/ PA+OB)	76.3 (w/ PA+OB)	67
Bandwidth (MHz)	580	200	150	720	330
Noise current spectral density $(pA / \sqrt{Hz})$	7.9	9.2	4.55	6.3	10.2
PSRR (dB) @ 100 kHz	-23	-	-	-	-77.2
**Sensitivity (dBm) (a) BER = $10^{-12}$	-25.7	-27.3	-31	-26	-26
Power dissipation (mW)	4.5	220 (w/ PA+TDC)	165 (w/ PA+OB)	7.4	3.38

Table 1. Performance comparison with previously reported TIAs

BFD: bootstrapped fully differential, RGC: regulated cascade-coupled, P\_INV: pseudo inverter

VCF: voltage-mode CMOS feedforward, PA: post-amplifier, TDC: time-to-digital converter, OB: output buffer

\*BiCMOS, \*\*Estimated by assuming 0.6-A/W responsivity



**Fig. 9.** Simulated differential eye-diagrams of the DFD-TIA for different  $2^{31}$ -1 PRBS inputs at 500 Mb/s.

together with that of MCD-TIA in [5], showing the PSRR of less than -19 dB up to 1 GHz.

Fig. 9 depicts the post-layout simulated differential output eye-diagrams of the DFD-TIA at 500 Mb/s with different input currents of 1  $\mu$ A<sub>pp</sub>, 50  $\mu$ A<sub>pp</sub>, and 100  $\mu$ A<sub>pp</sub>, respectively. The matched differential eyes are shown for the input currents less than 100  $\mu$ A<sub>pp</sub> (only 4-% mismatch), confirming the fully differential signaling.

In Fig. 10, the output signals provide wide and clean eye-openings for 20  $\mu$ A<sub>pp</sub> 2<sup>31</sup>-1 PRBS inputs at different data rates of 150 Mb/s, 500 Mb/s, 625 Mb/s, and 1 Gb/s, respectively.

Table 1 compares the performance of the proposed DFD-TIA with the previously reported TIAs. The BFD-TIA in [6] showed worse PSRR and 25 % larger power



**Fig. 10.** Simulated differential output eye-diagrams of the DFD-TIA with 20  $\mu$  A<sub>pp</sub> 2<sup>31</sup>-1 PRBS inputs at different data rates of 150 Mb/s, 500 Mb/s, 625 Mb/s, and 1 Gb/s.

consumption than the proposed DFD-TIA for a similar sensitivity. The single-ended RGC TIA in [9] obtained 12.7 % lower transimpedance gain and 40 % narrower bandwidth with a smaller supply voltage than the DFD-TIA. Yet, the P\_INV TIA in [10] showed better noise and transimpedance gain which were, however, mainly due not only to 55 % narrower bandwidth, but also to the following post-amplification. The VCF-TIA in [12] was severely vulnerable to common-mode noises due to its single-ended configuration.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

We have presented a novel dual-feedback foldedcascode TIA with active single-to-differential stage for fully differential signaling even from the input stage, hence improving common-mode noise immunity. The DFD-TIA realized in a 65-nm CMOS process provides fully differential output eye-diagrams with -77.2-dB PSRR at 100 kHz, -26-dBm sensitivity, and 3.38-mW power dissipation from a single 1.2-V supply. Conclusively, the proposed DFD-TIA can provide a lowpower noise-immune solution for the applications of LiDAR sensors.

## REFERENCES

- M. Liu, H. Liu, X. Li, and Z. Zhu, "A 60-m Range 6.16-mW Laser-Power Linear-Mode LiDAR System With Multiplex ADC/TDC in 65-nm CMOS," *IEEE Tran. Circuits and Systems I*, Vol. 67, No. 3, pp. 753-764, Mar. 2020.
- [2] E. Buchbinder, "Speed Detection: LADAR", www.ee.eng.buffalo.edu/faculty/cartwright/teachin g/ee494s99/presentations/lasar.pdf.
- [3] K. Yoshioka et al., "A 20-ch TDC/ADC hybrid arch. LiDAR SoC for 240×96 pixel 200-m range imaging with smart accumulation tech. and residue quantizing SAR ADC," *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 53, No. 11, pp. 3026–3038, Nov. 2018.
- [4] J. Kim, and J. F. Buckwalter, "A 40-Gb/s Optical Transceiver Front-End in 45nm SOI CMOS," *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 47, No. 3, pp. 615-626, Mar. 2012.
- [5] S. G. Kim et al., "A 40-GHz Mirrored-Cascode Differential TIA in 65nm CMOS," *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 54, No. 5, pp. 1468-1474, May 2019.
- [6] Y. Park, J. -H. Kim, and S. M. Park, "Bootstrapped fully differential CMOS transimpedance amplifier," *J. of Semiconductor Tech. and Sci.*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 1-7, Feb. 2020.
- [7] E. Sackinger, 'Broadband Circuits for Optical Fiber Communication,' Ch. 5, pp. 138, Wiley, 2005.
- [8] S. Kurtti, J. Nissinen, and J. Kostamovaara, "A Wide Dynamic Range CMOS Laser Radar

Receiver With a Time-Domain Walk Error Compensation Scheme," *IEEE Tran. Circuits and Systems I*, Vol. 64, No. 3, pp. 550-561, Mar. 2017.

- [9] S. Kurtti and J. Kostamovaara, "Laser radar receiver channel with timing detector on front end unipolar-to-bipolar pulse shaping," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 44, No. 3, pp. 835-847, Mar. 2009.
- [10] H. Zheng, R. Ma, M. Liu, and Z. Zhu, "A Linear Dynamic Range Receiver With Timing Discrimination for Pulsed TOF Imaging LADAR Application," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, Vol. 67, No. 11, pp. 2684–2691, Nov. 2018.
- [11] E. N. Lima, J. L. Cura and L. N. Alves, "Foldedcascode transimpedance amplifiers employing a CMOS inverter as input stage," *European Conf. on Circuit Theory and Design (ECCTD) 2013*, Dresden, pp. 1-4, 2013.
- [12] C. Hong, S. –H. Kim, J. –H. Kim, and S. M. Park, "A linear-mode LiDAR sensor using a multichannel CMOS TIA array," *IEEE Sensors J.*, Vol. 18, No. 17, pp. 7032-7040, Sep. 2018.
- [13] D. Abd-Elrahman et al., "Low power transimpedance amplifier using current reuse with dual feedback," in *Proc.of IEEE Int. Conf. Electronics, Circuits, and Systems (ICECS)*, Cairo, pp. 244–247, 2015.



**Yoonji Park** received the B.S. degree in electronics engineering from Ewha Womans University, Seoul, South Korea, in 2018. She is currently working toward the M.S. degree at the same university. Her current research interests include

CMOS analog integrated circuits and architectures for optical interconnects and LiDAR systems.



**Sung Min Park** received the B.S. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from KAIST, Korea, in 1993. He received the M.S. degree in electrical engineering from University College London, U.K., in 1994, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical and

electronic engineering from Imperial College London, U.K., in May 2000. In 2004, he joined the faculty of the Department of Electronics Engineering at Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea, where he is currently a Professor. His research interests include high-speed analog/digital integrated circuit designs in submicron CMOS and SiGe HBT technologies for the applications of optical interconnects, silicon photonics, and RF communications. Prof. Park has served on the technical program committees of a number of international conferences including ISSCC (2004–2009).